

XXVI. NATIONAL ECONOMIC AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY**A. OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR-GENERAL****STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES****SECTOR OUTCOME**

Sound, stable and supportive macroeconomic environment sustained

ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Sound economic and development management effected

PERFORMANCE INFORMATION**ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)**

Sound economic and development management effected

SOCIO-ECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicator(s)

1. Percentage of policy recommendations adopted
2. Percentage of agenda items related to the plans for NEDA Board Committees where NEDA is the Secretariat
3. Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided
 - a. NEDA Board

BASELINE**2021 TARGETS**

NEDA Board Committees:

- b. Social Development Committee

- c. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters

- d. National Land Use Committee (NLUC)

- e. Regional Development Committee (RDCom)

- f. Other Inter-Agency Committees

- g. Regional Development Councils (RDC)

Output Indicator(s)

1. Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion
2. Number of plans prepared/updated and submitted within schedule to NEDA Board, RDCom, NLUC, RDCs, and/or Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning respectively, for approval

90.87% (846 of 931)

93.8% (454 of 484)

N / A

4.53 or 90.6% (Outstanding)
average rating4.49 or 89.8% (Very Satisfactory)
average rating4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory)
average rating4.37/5 or 87.4% (Very Satisfactory)
average rating4.46 or 89.2% (Very Satisfactory)
average rating4.56 or 91.2% (Outstanding)
average rating

92.95% (1,094 of 1,177)

8 total

87% average

93% average

At least 4/5 or 80%
(Very Satisfactory) average
ratingAt least 3.75 / 5 or 75%
(Very Satisfactory) average
ratingAt least 2.5/5 or 50%
(Satisfactory) average
ratingAt least 4/5 or 80%
(Very Satisfactory) average
ratingAt least 4/5 or 80%
(Very Satisfactory) average
ratingAt least a 3.85/5 or 76%
(Very Satisfactory) average
ratingAt least a 4.35/5 or 87%
(Very Satisfactory) average
rating

97% average

8 total

3. Number of economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period	44 total	44 total
NATIONAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMMING PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator(s)		
1. Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided		
NEDA Board Committees:		
a. Investment Coordination Committee (ICC)	4.61 or 92.2% (Outstanding) average rating	At least 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating
b. Infrastructure Committee	4.58/5 or 91.6% (Outstanding) average rating	At least 4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
c. Other Inter-agency Committees	4.3 or 86% (Very Satisfactory) average rating	At least 4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
2. Percentage of programs and projects approved by the ICC included in the Public Investment Program (PIP)	78% (32 of 41)	At least 90%
Output Indicator(s)		
1. Number of annual/medium-term public investment program documents prepared/updated and submitted by every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency bodies for appropriate action	29 Total	11 total
2. Percentage of project appraised within target deadline	89.95% (188 of 209)	90%
NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM		
Outcome Indicator(s)		
1. Adoption of Socio-Economic Report (SER) as basis for Budget Priorities Framework (BPF)	SER adopted in Parts III and IV of the BPF	SER adopted in the BPF
2. Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation information for policy and decision-making made readily available to policy-makers and various stakeholders within prescribed period	97.84% (136 of 139)	100% of data requests provided per quarter
3. Percentage of agencies with problematic projects alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues	100% (286 of 286)	100% of agencies with problematic projects per quarter
Output Indicator(s)		
1. Number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared and released within schedule	9 total	15 total
a. Socio-Economic Report (SER)	-	1 SER
b. Regional Development Report (RDR)	9 RDRs	14 RDRs
2. One (1) annual report on the performance of Official Development Assistance (ODA) portfolio prepared and submitted to Congress on or before June 30 annually	1	1
3. Percentage of programs/projects (i.e. ICC-approved programs/projects with complete requirements) re-evaluated within target deadline	96.67% (29 of 30)	At least 90%