

2020 MONTHLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION
Details of Accomplishment

STAFF / REGIONAL OFFICE: NRO XI

Period covered by the Report: April 1-30, 2020

SOCIOECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Percentage of policy recommendations adopted

Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of policy recommendations that were adopted by decision-makers – The President, the Cabinet, and the Congress. Policies refer to government decisions, courses of action, and positions on various concerns relating to NEDA’s mandate that are issued to respond to specific problems or concerns. Policies can be in the form of official pronouncement or statements, administrative orders, memorandum circulars, board resolutions, implementing rules and regulations, and other related issuances. The term “adopted” refers to acceptance of policy recommendation, as manifested by its inclusion or incorporation in a policy issuance.
- This indicator measures the quality of analyses of development issues and policy alternatives provided to said decision makers.

Means of Verification:

- Analysis of the following documents against policy recommendations provided: [proposed laws](#), [enacted laws](#) and their implementing rules and regulations; executive issuances (circular, orders, official pronouncement or statements, board resolutions, [memoranda for the President](#), [policy briefs/notes](#), and other related issuances)

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Policy recommendations approved by the Secretary
- Computed as = (Total number of policy recommendations adopted by decision makers / Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed) x 100

2020 target: 85.7%; Quarterly target: 85.7%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs concerned)

Note: A data field for reporting actual accomplishment relative to the *outcome indicator* “Percentage of policy recommendations adopted” is already incorporated in the table/matrix for reporting accomplishment relative to the *output indicator* “Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion”.

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2

Percentage of agenda items related to the Plans for NEDA Board Committees where NEDA is the secretariat

Definition:

- This refers to the percentage share of Plan-related concerns' inclusion as agenda items to the total number of agenda items developed by NEDA as Secretariat and adopted by the NEDA Board Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP).
- Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPPF), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future.

Means of Verification:

- Analysis of the following documents: NEDA Board Committees' resolution, minutes of meetings of NEDA Board Committees and its Other Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP)

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = (Total number of Plan-related concerns included as agenda items / Total number of agenda items adopted by NEDA Board Committees) x 100

2020 Target: 93%; Quarterly target: 93%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs)

| LIST OF PLAN-RELATED CONCERNS INCLUDED AS AGENDA ITEMS | NAME OF NEDA BOARD COMMITTEE (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP) (e.g. SDC, INFRACOM) | DATE OF MEETING | LIST OF AGENDA ITEMS ADOPTED BY NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP) | REMARKS |
|--|---|-----------------|--|---------|
| 1. | | | | |
| 2. | | | | |
| 3. | | | | |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAN-RELATED CONCERNS INCLUDED AS AGENDA ITEMS: | | | TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENDA ITEMS ADOPTED BY THE NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES = | |

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. NEDA Board
- b. NEDA Board Committees:
 - 1. Social Development Committee
 - 2. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters
 - 3. National Land Use Committee
 - 4. Regional Development Committee
- c. Other Inter-Agency Committees
- d. Regional Development Councils

Definition:

- This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of NEDA Board, Social Development Committee (SDC), Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (CTRM), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM), Regional Development Committee (RDCom), other Inter-Agency-Committees (IACs), and Regional Development Councils (RDCs) with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by the NEDA Secretariat.
- This indicator measures quality of NEDA’s technical secretariat services provided, specifically on setting the agenda and preparation of meeting documents (highlights of meetings, presentation materials, etcetera).

Means of verification:

- Accomplished surveys/ questionnaires / [evaluation sheets](#)

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reports during the next NEDA Board, SDC, INFRACOM, CTRM, NLUC, Other IAC, RDCom and RDC Meetings
- Computed as average of all client satisfaction rating of members per meeting

| NAME OF COMMITTEE | TARGET | AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS | REMARKS |
|---|---|---|---------|
| NEDA Board (c/o LS) | At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| NEDA Board Committees: | | | |
| 1. Social Development Committee (c/o SDS) | At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 2. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (c/o TSIS) | At least a 2.5/5 or 50% (Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 3. National Land Use Committee (c/o RDS) | At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 4. Regional Development Committee (c/o RDS) | At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| Other Inter-Agency Committees | At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very | | |

| NAME OF COMMITTEE | TARGET | AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS | REMARKS |
|---|--|---|---------|
| | Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| a. Philippine Council for Sustainable Development (c/o ANRES) | At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| b. Mining Industry Coordinating Council (c/o ANRES) | At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| c. MC-IHDC (c/o SDS) | At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| d. IAC on Trade in Services (c/o TSIS) | At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| e. EDC (c/o TSIS) | At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| f. IAC-DA (c/o GovS) | At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| g. FSC on Rice (c/o ANRES) | At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| h. SC-IMD (c/o NPPS) | At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| i. TWG-Macro (c/o NPPS) | At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| j. TWG-EG | At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| Regional Development Councils | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 1. RDC CAR (c/o NRO CAR) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 2. RDC I (c/o NRO I) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 3. RDC II (c/o NRO II) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 4. RDC III (c/o NRO III) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 5. RDC IV-A (c/o NRO IV-A) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 6. RDC MIMAROPA (c/o NRO MIMAROPA) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 7. RDC V (c/o NRO V) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 8. RDC VI (c/o NRO VI) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 9. RDC VII (c/o NRO VII) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very | | |

| NAME OF COMMITTEE | TARGET | AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS | REMARKS |
|-----------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| | Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 10. RDC VIII (c/o NRO VIII) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 11. RDC IX (c/o NRO IX) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 12. RDC X (c/o NRO X) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 13. RDC XI (c/o NRO XI) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | No meeting conducted in April |
| 14. RDC XII (c/o NRO XII) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| 15. RDC XIII (c/o NRO XIII) | At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating | | |

| | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL: | |
|--------------------------------|--|

| DATE OF MEETING | NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER | SATISFACTION RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER | Remarks |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion

Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of total number of requests that were reviewed within 10 working days from date of receipt or within the date indicated by

requesting party.

- This indicator measures the timeliness of conduct of short-term policy reviews. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely analyses of development issues and policy alternatives to decision-makers – the President, the Cabinet, and the Congress.

Means of verification:

- NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and
- Document analysis

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = (Total number of policy recommendations prepared/ reviewed within required date and/or time of completion / Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed) x 100

2020 target: 97%; Quarterly target: 97%

External Request (c/o all Staffs and NROs)

| NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review) | REQUESTING PARTY (Senate/ HOR/ NEDA Board Committees/ RDCs, etc.) | DATE RECEIVED BY NEDA | DEADLINE OF REQUEST | ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSION | PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No) | ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS (Y for Yes and N for No) | REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/ reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion / reason why policy recommendations were not adopted by decision makers</i> |
|---|--|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1. Food Security Plan of Davao de Oro for COVID-19 Health Emergency Situation (Agriculture and Fishery) 2. 3. | Provincial Government of Davao de Oro | April 1, 2020 | April 3, 2020 | April 3, 2020 | Y | Cannot be determined | Comments were provided for consideration; No feedback received from the requesting party |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED AND REVIEWED: | | | | | TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION = | TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS = | |

Internal Request (c/o all Staffs and NROs)

| NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review) | REQUESTING PARTY (Staffs/NROs, e.g. SDS, NRO 3, etc.) | PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No) | REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion</i> |
|--|--|---|---|
| 1. 2. 3. | | | |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED AND REVIEWED: | | TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION: | |

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Number of plans prepared/updated and submitted within schedule to NEDA Board, RDCom, NLUC, RDCs, and/or Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning, respectively, for approval

| |
|---|
| <p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This refers to the count of planning documents prepared/ updated, and submitted within schedule to the NEDA Board, Regional Development Committee (RDCom), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Regional Development Councils (RDCs), and/or the Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning. This indicator measures the timeliness of preparation/ updating, and submission of planning documents that serve as guide in the formulation and implementation of policies, programs and projects. Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPPF), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future. <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analysis of the following documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEDA Board resolutions RDC resolutions Minutes of meetings of NEDA Board and its Committees, and Technical Committee on the NPP, RDCs and its Committees Planning Guidelines and schedules for the preparation of plans <p>Monitoring Mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments. |
|---|

2020 Target: 17 total (1 Results Matrices [RM], 7 RDPs, 2 RSDFs, 6 RPPFs, 1 NPP)

| NAME OF PLANNING DOCUMENT | | DATE OF | PLANS | REMARKS |
|---------------------------|--|---------|-------|---------|
|---------------------------|--|---------|-------|---------|

| | TARGET NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED/UPDATED | SUBMISSION/PRESENTATION TO SECRETARY OF SOCIOECONOMIC PLANNING/NEDA BOARD/ RDCom/ NLUC/RDCs | PREPARED/UPDATED AND FINALIZED WITHIN SCHEDULE (Y for yes and N for no) | <i>*indicate reason for not submitting/presenting the plans within schedule</i> |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1. Results Matrices (RM) Compendium (c/o MES) | 1 updated RM compendium by 1st Quarter | | Y | Ongoing printing |
| 2. Regional Development Plans (RDPs) (c/o NROs 1, 5, 8, 9, 11, 12, CARAGA) | 7 RDPs by 2 nd Quarter | | Y | Ongoing printing |
| 3. Regional Spatial Development Frameworks (RSDFs) (c/o NROs 11, 12) | 2 RSDFs by 4 th Quarter | | | |
| 4. Regional Physical Framework Plan (RFPF) (c/o NROs CAR, 3, 5, 7, 8, CARAGA) | 6 RFPFs by 4 th Quarter | | | |
| 5. National Priority Plan (NPP) (c/o SDS) | 1 NPP by 1 st Quarter | | | |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED: 17 | | TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN SCHEDULE = | | |

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Number of economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period

| |
|--|
| <p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This refers to the count of the following economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period (i.e., month, quarter, semester, and/or year): (a) monthly report on Trade, (b) monthly report on Consumer Price Index, (c) monthly Integrated Survey of Selected Industries, (e) quarterly report on National Accounts, (f) quarterly report on Labor and Employment, (g) annual report on Poverty Statistics. This indicator measures the timeliness dimension of preparation of economic reports. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely economic information. <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and Document analysis <p>Monitoring Mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the |
|--|

Officer Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: 44

| NAME OF ECONOMIC REPORT: | TARGET | | | | | ACCOMPLISHMENT | | | | | PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS (Y for Yes and N for No) | REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing the reports within the prescribed period</i> |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|----|----|----|----|---|---|
| | TOTAL | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | TOTAL | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | | |
| a. National Accounts (c/o NPPS) | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| b. Trade (c/o NPPS) | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| c. Consumer Price Index (c/o NPPS) | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| d. Labor and Employment (c/o NPPS) | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | |
| e. Monthly Integrated Survey of Selected Industries (c/o TSIS) | 12 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS = | 44 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 11 | | | | | | NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS PREPARED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS= | |

| NAME OF REPORT | PERIOD COVERED | DATE OF PREPARATION | DATE OF RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS |
|----------------|----------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. Investment Coordination Committee
- b. Infrastructure Committee
- c. Other Inter-Agency Committees

Definition:

- This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of Investment Coordination Committee (ICC) and Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM) with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by NEDA Secretariat.
- This indicator measures quality of NEDA's technical secretariat services provided, specifically the preparation of meeting documents (memorandum, evaluation report, presentation materials, letters, minutes, among others).

Means of verification:

- Accomplished surveys/questionnaires/ [evaluation sheets](#)

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reporting during ICC and INFRACOM meetings

| NAME OF COMMITTEE | TARGET | AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS | REMARKS |
|---|---|---|---------|
| Investment Coordination Committee (c/o PIS) | At least a 3/5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating | | |
| Infrastructure Committee (c/o IS) | At least a 5/5 or 100% (Outstanding) average rating | | |
| Other Inter-Agency Committees | At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating | | |
| 1. NPMC (c/o MES) | At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating | | |
| 2. Inter-agency Committee on Project Management and Monitoring (IACPMM)/ PIO System (c/o MES) | At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating | | |
| 3. IATCP (c/o IS) | At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating | | |
| 4. INFRACOM-SCWR (c/o IS) | At least a 4/5 or 80% (Outstanding) average rating | | |

NAME OF COMMITTEE:

| DATE OF MEETING | NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER | RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER | Remarks |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | Count of Members: | Sum of Satisfaction Ratings: | |
| | | Average Satisfaction Rating: | |

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of programs and projects approved by the ICC included in the PIP

Definition:

- This pertains to the proportion of programs and projects approved by the ICC which are included in the Public Investment Program (document).
- This indicator measures the responsiveness of programs and projects to the priorities of Government. An increase of this indicator is a sign that programs and projects approved by the ICC are aligned with the priorities of the PDP/RM.
- Computed as = (Total number of programs and projects included in the PIP / Total number of programs and projects approved by the ICC) x 100

Means of verification:

- Analysis of the following documents:
- PIP document
- List of ICC-approved programs and projects

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reports during NEDA Board and ICC meetings
- Accomplishments integrated in the Office Performance Commitments
-

2020 target: 90%; Quarterly target: 90%

(c/o PIS)

| PROGRAM/ PROJECT APPROVED BY THE ICC | DATE OF APPROVAL BY THE ICC | INCLUDED IN THE PIP (Y for yes and N for no) | REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not evaluating projects within target deadline</i> |
|--|-----------------------------|--|---|
| 1. | | | |
| 2. | | | |
| 3. | | | |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY THE ICC | | TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY ICC THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THE PIP: | |

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Number of annual/medium-term public investment program documents prepared/updated and submitted every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency bodies for appropriate action

- a. PIP
- b. TRIP
- c. RDIP
- d. AIP

Definition:

- This pertains to the number of PIP documents prepared/updated every end of the year.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of formulation/ updating of PIP documents that serve as guide for efficient resource allocation toward priority public investments.

Means of Verification:

- Published PIP, CIIP, TRIP (i.e., printing and/or publication in the NEDA website)
- Posting of RDIP and AIP in the NRO website
- Analysis of the resolutions/ minutes of meetings of the following: NEDA Board, INFRACOM, and RDCs

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 17

| NAME OF PUBLIC INVESTMENT PROGRAM DOCUMENT | TARGET NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED | DATE OF SUBMISSION TO CONCERNED INTER-AGENCY BODIES | DOCUMENTS PREPARED/UPDATED AND SUBMITTED WITHIN THE YEAR (Y for yes and N for no) | REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/updating and submitting PIP documents within schedule</i> |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| 1. Public Investment Program (PIP) (c/o PIS) | 1 PIP by 1 st Quarter | | | |
| 2. Three-Year Rolling Infrastructure Program (c/o IS) | 1 TRIP by 1 st Quarter | | | |
| 3. Regional Development Investment Programs (RDIPs) (c/o NROs) | 15 draft updated RDIPs by 2 nd Quarter | | Y | Ongoing printing |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED: 17 | | TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN THE YEAR = | | |

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of projects appraised within target deadline

| |
|---|
| <p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This pertains to the proportion of projects appraised within target deadline (e.g. projects costing Php 2.5 billion and above and with complete requirements appraised within 6 weeks and presented to the ICC-TB for deliberation). <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analysis of the minutes of the meetings NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine dates of receipt of project proposal and release of project evaluation reports. <p>Monitoring Mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments. |
|---|

2020 target: 90%; Quarterly target: 90%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs)

| NAME OF PROJECT | PROPONENT | DATE OF RECEIPT | DATE OF | APPRAISED WITHIN | REMARKS |
|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|------------------|---------|
|-----------------|-----------|-----------------|---------|------------------|---------|

| | AGENCY | OF PROJECT PROPOSAL | COMPLETION OF APPRAISAL | TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no) | <i>*indicate reason for not evaluating projects within target deadline</i> |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---|--|
| Capability Building on Efficient Approach for Insect Pest Identification using Remote Microscopy System | Department of Agriculture (DA) | April 8, 2020 | April 21, 2020 | Y | Comments were requested by NEDA-PIS and provided to NEDA-PIS & NEDA-ANRES |
| Development of the Tagumpay Park | City Government of Tagum | March 27, 2020 | April 7, 2020 | Y | Comments were requested by NEDA-PIS and provided to NEDA-PIS & NEDA-GS |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS APPRAISED: | | | | TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS APPRAISED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE: | 2 |

* Refers to projects with complete submission of documents/information from proponent agencies. Only report projects that completed the appraisal during the period.

NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Adoption of Socio-Economic Report (SER) as basis for Budget Priorities Framework

Definition:

- This refers to the adoption of SER for the preparation of the Budget Priorities Framework.
- This indicator measures the improvement of formulating budget priorities based on lessons learned from implementation of the Philippine Development plan.

Means of verification:

- Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework

| NAME OF DOCUMENT | TARGET | ADOPTED AS BASIS FOR BUDGET PRIORITIES FRAMEWORK | REMARKS |
|------------------|--------|--|---------|
|------------------|--------|--|---------|

| | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|--|
| Socio-Economic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS) | The PDP Midterm Update will be adopted for BPF | (Y for yes and N for no) | |
|--|--|--------------------------|--|

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation (M&E) information for policy and decision-making made readily available to policy-makers and various stakeholders within prescribed period

Definition:

- This indicator measures the quality of NEDA's M&E services, specifically the preparation of documents/ communications providing M&E information to policy makers and various stakeholders (e.g. OP, PSA, DOF, COA, Congress, researchers, academe, government implementing agencies, providers of development cooperation, etc.)
- Computed as = (Total number of M&E Information made readily available to policy makers and various stakeholders within prescribed time / Total number of M&E requests) x 100

Means of verification:

- Document tracking system to determine date of receipt of request and release of response.

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms

2020 target: 100%; Quarterly target: 100%

(c/o MES and NROs)

| NAME OF REQUEST FOR M&E INFORMATION | REQUESTING PARTY (EXTERNAL) | DATE OF RECEIPT OF REQUEST | DATE OF RELEASE | MADE READILY AVAILABLE WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME (Y for yes and N for no) | REMARKS |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|---|---------|
| 1. | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | |
| 3. | | | | | |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF M&E REQUESTS RECEIVED | | | | TOTAL NUMBER M&E INFORMATION MADE READILY AVAILABLE TO POLICY-MAKERS AND VARIOUS STAKEHOLDERS WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME = | |

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Percentage of agencies with problematic projects alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues

Definition:

- This indicator measures the assistance provided by NEDA to facilitate project implementation through the conduct of problem-solving sessions, one-on-one agency consultations, field validation visits, project steering committee meetings, project implementation reviews with development providers, and major fora such as regular PIO meetings, NPMC meetings, and RPMES fora.
- Computed as = (Total number of agencies alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues / Total number of agencies with problematic projects) x 100

Means of verification:

- Communications, follow-ups, field validation reports, [Problem-Solving Sessions \(PSS\)](#), and minutes of meetings with agencies

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms.
- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA.

2020 Target: 100% of agencies with problematic projects per quarter

(c/o MES and NROs)

| NAME OF AGENCY WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS | NAME OF PROGRAM/PROJECT WITH PROBLEMS/ISSUES | ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION (Y for yes and N for no) | NAME AND DATE OF MEETING/ FORA / CONSULTATIONS/ VISIT CONDUCTED (Specify) | REMARKS |
|--|--|--|---|---------|
| 1. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS: | | TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES = | | |

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Number of socioeconomic assessment reports (SER and RDRs) prepared and released within schedule

- a. Socio-Economic Report (SER)
- b. Regional Development Report (RDR)

Definition:

- This refers to the number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared and released within schedule.
- The annual SER serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of the PDP targets. The RDR serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of RDP objectives and targets.

Means of Verification:

- Published SER and guidelines
- Published RDRs

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Officer Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 16

| NAME OF DOCUMENT | TARGET | ACTUAL DATE OF RELEASE OF REPORT | REMARKS |
|---|--|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| a. 2019 Annual Regional Development Report (RDR) (c/o NROs) | 15 RDRs for 2019 prepared and released by 3 rd Quarter | | Data gathering ongoing |
| b. 2020 Socioeconomic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS) | 1 draft 2020 SER prepared by 4 th Quarter; 2019 assessment included | | |

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| | in the PDP Midterm Update | |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED = 16 | TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED AND RELEASED WITHIN SCHEDULE= | |

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

One (1) annual report on the performance of Official Development Assistance (ODA) portfolio prepared and submitted to Congress on or before June 30 annually

Definition:

- This refers to the timely submission to Congress of the outcome of the review of status of all projects financed by Official Development Assistance (ODA) as required by RA No. 8182.
- The following are the salient contents of the report: financial and physical performance of projects; project implementation issues; results (outputs and outcomes) derived from implementing programs and projects; status of recommendations made in previous reviews.

Means of Verification:

- Published ODA Portfolio Review Report

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

| NAME OF DOCUMENT | TARGET | ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSION | REMARKS |
|--|---|---------------------------|---------|
| a. ODA Portfolio Review Report (c/o MES) | Prepared and submitted on or before June 30, 2020 | | |
| TOTAL = 1 | TOTAL NUMBER OF REPORT PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE JUNE 30 = | | |

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3

Percentage of programs/projects (i.e. ICC-approved programs/projects with complete requirements) re-evaluated within target deadline

Definition:

- This pertains to the re-evaluation of projects within target deadline.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of project re-evaluations carried out by the NEDA evaluating units.

Means of verification:

- Minutes of ICC meetings
- Document tracking system to determine the dates of receipt of agency requests for project restructuring (e.g. extension, change in scope, etc.) and release of project evaluation reports

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: At least 90% of re-evaluation requests processed; Quarterly target: At least 90% of requests processed

(c/o all Staffs and NROs)

| LIST OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED | PROPONENT AGENCY | DATE OF RECEIPT OF AGENCY REQUEST FOR PROJECT RESTRUCTURING | DATE OF COMPLETION OF RE-EVALUATION | RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no) | REMARKS |
|--|------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|---------|
| 1. | | | | | |
| 2. | | | | | |
| 3. | | | | | |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED: | | | | TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE: | |

MAJOR PROGRAMS/PROJECTS

| NAME OF PROJECT | TARGET | | | | | ACCOMPLISHMENT | | | | | REMARKS |
|---|--------|----|----|----|-------|----------------|----|----|----|-------|---------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | TOTAL | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | TOTAL | |
| General Administration and Support | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. Purchase of motor vehicles (c/o Administrative Staff, NRO XII, and NRO XIII) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Purchase of ICT equipment (c/o ICTS) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Support to Operations | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Implementation of the Management Information System- NEDA Information Network Project, Phase IV (c/o ICTS) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Various Infrastructure Projects (c/o NRO XII) | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Socioeconomic Policy and Planning Program | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. Infrastructure Development Preparation Fund (c/o IS) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. Project Development and Other Related Studies Fund (c/o IS) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7. Establishment of Innovation Fund (c/o TSIS) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8. Provision of Secretariat Services to the National Innovation Council (c/o TSIS) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9. Research and Development Fund (c/o Project Management Office) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| National Investment Programming Program | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10. Value Engineering/Value Analysis (VE/VA) Project (c/o IS) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| National Development Monitoring and Evaluation Program | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11. Monitoring and Evaluation Fund | | | | | | | | | | | | |

NARRATIVE ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT (c/o All Staffs and NROs)

(Option to upload MS word file or input in the comment box)

Prepared by:



CONCEPCION C. ANAUD

Signature over Printed Name/ Date

Approved by:



RD MARIA LOURDES D. LIM, CESO II

Signature over Printed Name/ Date