

2020 MONTHLY PHYSICAL REPORT OF OPERATION
Details of Accomplishment

STAFF / REGIONAL OFFICE: **NRO XI**

Period covered by the Report: **January 1-31, 2020**

SOCIOECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Percentage of policy recommendations adopted

Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of policy recommendations that were adopted by decision-makers – The President, the Cabinet, and the Congress. Policies refer to government decisions, courses of action, and positions on various concerns relating to NEDA's mandate that are issued to respond to specific problems or concerns. Policies can be in the form of official pronouncement or statements, administrative orders, memorandum circulars, board resolutions, implementing rules and regulations, and other related issuances. The term "adopted" refers to acceptance of policy recommendation, as manifested by its inclusion or incorporation in a policy issuance.
- This indicator measures the quality of analyses of development issues and policy alternatives provided to said decision makers.

Means of Verification:

- Analysis of the following documents against policy recommendations provided: [proposed laws](#), [enacted laws](#) and their implementing rules and regulations; executive issuances (circular, orders, official pronouncement or statements, board resolutions, [memoranda for the President](#), [policy briefs/ notes](#), and other related issuances)

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Policy recommendations approved by the Secretary
- Computed as = (Total number of policy recommendations adopted by decision makers / Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed) x 100

2020 target: 85%

Note: A data field for reporting actual accomplishment relative to the *outcome indicator* "Percentage of policy recommendations adopted" is already incorporated in the table/matrix for reporting accomplishment relative to the output indicator "Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion".

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2

Percentage of agenda items related to the Plans for NEDA Board Committees where NEDA is the secretariat

Definition:

- This refers to the percentage share of Plan-related concerns' inclusion as agenda items to the total number of agenda items developed by NEDA as Secretariat and adopted by the NEDA Board Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP).
- Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPFP), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future.

Means of Verification:

- Analysis of the following documents: NEDA Board Committees' resolution, minutes of meetings of NEDA Board Committees and its Other Committees (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP)

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = (Total number of Plan-related concerns included as agenda items / Total number of agenda items adopted by NEDA Board Committees) x 100

2020 Target: 93%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs)

LIST OF PLAN-RELATED CONCERNS INCLUDED AS AGENDA ITEMS	NAME OF NEDA BOARD COMMITTEE (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP) (e.g. SDC, INFRACOM)	DATE OF MEETING	LIST OF AGENDA ITEMS ADOPTED BY NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES (including TWGs, ETBs, IACs, and the Technical Committee on the NPP)	REMARKS
1.				
2.				
3.				
TOTAL NUMBER OF PLAN-RELATED CONCERNS INCLUDED AS AGENDA ITEMS:			TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENDA ITEMS ADOPTED BY THE NEDA BOARD COMMITTEES =	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. NEDA Board
- b. NEDA Board Committees:
 - a. Social Development Committee
 - b. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters
 - c. National Land Use Committee
 - d. Regional Development Committee
- c. Other Inter-Agency Committees
- d. Regional Development Councils

Definition:

- This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of NEDA Board, Social Development Committee (SDC), Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (CTRM), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM), Regional Development Committee (RDCom), other Inter-Agency-Committees (IACs), and Regional Development Councils (RDCs) with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by the NEDA Secretariat.
- This indicator measures quality of NEDA's technical secretariat services provided, specifically on setting the agenda and preparation of meeting documents (highlights of meetings, presentation materials, etcetera).

Means of verification:

- Accomplished surveys/ questionnaires / [evaluation sheets](#)

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reports during the next NEDA Board, SDC, INFRACOM, CTRM, NLUC, Other IAC, RDCom and RDC Meetings
- Computed as average of all client satisfaction rating of members per meeting

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
NEDA Board (c/o LS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
NEDA Board Committees:			
1. Social Development Committee (c/o SDS)	At least a 3.5/5 or 70% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
2. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters (c/o TSIS)	At least a 2.5/5 or 50% (Satisfactory) average rating		
3. National Land Use Committee (c/o RDS)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
4. Regional Development Committee (c/o RDS)	At least a 4/5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
Other Inter-Agency Committees	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
a. Philippine Council for Sustainable	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very		

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
Development (c/o ANRES)	Satisfactory) average rating		
b. Mining Industry Coordinating Council (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
c. MC-IHDC (c/o SDS)	At least a 3.5/5 or 70% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
d. IAC on Trade in Services (c/o TSIS)	At least a 2.5/5 or 50% (Satisfactory) average rating		
e. EDC (c/o TSIS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
f. IAC-DA (c/o GovS)	At least a 4.25/5 or 85% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
g. FSC on Rice (c/o ANRES)	At least 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
h. SC-IMD (c/o NPPS)	At least a 3.75/5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
i. TWG-Macro (c/o NPPS)	At least a 5/5 or 100% (Outstanding) average rating		
j. TWG-EG	At least a 5/5 or 100% (Outstanding) average rating		
Regional Development Councils	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
1. RDC CAR (c/o NRO CAR)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
2. RDC I (c/o NRO I)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
3. RDC II (c/o NRO II)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
4. RDC III (c/o NRO III)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
5. RDC IV-A (c/o NRO IV-A)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
6. RDC MIMAROPA (c/o NRO MIMAROPA)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
7. RDC V (c/o NRO V)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
8. RDC VI (c/o NRO VI)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
9. RDC VII (c/o NRO VII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
10. RDC VIII (c/o NRO VIII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very		

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
	Satisfactory) average rating		
11. RDC IX (c/o NRO IX)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
12. RDC X (c/o NRO X)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
13. RDC XI (c/o NRO XI)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
I. RDC XI Strategic Planning Conference		Very Satisfactory	Conducted on Jan. 17, 2020
14. RDC XII (c/o NRO XII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
15. RDC XIII (c/o NRO XIII)	At least a 4.45/5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE/
COUNCIL:

DATE OF MEETING	NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER	SATISFACTION RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER	Remarks
January 23	Rehab and Recovery Committee Meeting	Very Satisfactory	Approved the Terms of Reference on the Post-Disaster Needs Assessment (PDNA) for Davao del Sur and Davao Occidental
January 6	Regional Census Coordinating Board (RCCB)	Very Satisfactory	Endorsed PSA Board Resolution No. 4 S.2019 "Enjoining Agencies to Provide Assistance to the Philippine Statistics Authority for the Conduct of the 2020 Census of Population and Housing", PSA Board Resolution No. 5 S.2019 "Creating the National Regional, Provincial, City and Municipal Census Coordinating Boards for the 2020 Census of Population and Housing"

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and/or time of completion

Definition:

- This refers to the proportion of total number of requests that were reviewed within 10 working days from date of receipt or within the date indicated by requesting party.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of conduct of short-term policy reviews. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely analyses of development issues and policy alternatives to decision-makers – the President, the Cabinet, and the Congress.

Means of verification:

- NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and
- Document analysis

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
- Computed as = (Total number of policy recommendations prepared/ reviewed within required date and/or time of completion / Total number of policy recommendations prepared and reviewed) x 100

2020 target: 97%

External Request (c/o all Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review)	REQUESTING PARTY (Senate/ HOR/ NEDA Board Committees/ RDCs, etc.)	DATE RECEIVED BY NEDA	DEADLINE OF REQUEST	ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSION	PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No)	ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/ reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion / reason why policy recommendations were not adopted by decision makers</i>
1. 2.							
TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED AND REVIEWED:					TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION =	TOTAL NO. OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS ADOPTED BY DECISION MAKERS =	

Internal Request (c/o all Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF POLICY REQUESTS (Specify the policies requested for review)	REQUESTING PARTY (Staffs/NROs, e.g. SDS, NRO 3, etc.)	PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/reviewing policy requests within required date and/or time of completion</i>
1. 2.			
TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED AND REVIEWED:		TOTAL NUMBER OF POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS PREPARED/REVIEWED WITHIN THE REQUIRED DATE AND/OR TIME OF COMPLETION:	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Number of plans prepared/updated and submitted within schedule to NEDA Board, RDCom, NLUC, RDCs, and/or Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning, respectively, for approval

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This refers to the count of planning documents prepared/ updated, and submitted within schedule to the NEDA Board, Regional Development Committee (RDCom), National Land Use Committee (NLUC), Regional Development Councils (RDCs), and/or the Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning. This indicator measures the timeliness of preparation/ updating, and submission of planning documents that serve as guide in the formulation and implementation of policies, programs and projects. Plans refer to the following, among others: Philippine Development Plan (PDP), Regional Development Plans (RDP), Area Spatial Development Framework (ASDF) plans, Regional Spatial Development Framework (RDSF) plans, National Physical Framework Plan (NPPF), National Priority Plan (NPP), and other plans that NEDA is tasked to prepare in accordance with pronouncements and priorities of the President, laws, rules and regulations, and executive issuances in the future. <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analysis of the following documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEDA Board resolutions RDC resolutions Minutes of meetings of NEDA Board and its Committees, and Technical Committee on the NPP, RDCs and its Committees Planning Guidelines and schedules for the preparation of plans <p>Monitoring Mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.
--

2020 Target: 30 total (1 Results Matrices [RM], 15 RDPs, 7 RSDFs, 5 RPPFs, 1 NPP, PDP Midterm Update)

NAME OF PLANNING DOCUMENT	TARGET NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED/UPDATED	DATE OF SUBMISSION/PRESENTATION TO SECRETARY OF SOCIOECONOMIC PLANNING/NEDA BOARD/ RDCom/ NLUC/RDCs	PLANS PREPARED/UPDATED AND FINALIZED WITHIN SCHEDULE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not submitting/presenting the plans within schedule</i>
1. Results Matrices (RM) Compendium (c/o MES) 2. Regional Development Plans (RDPs) (c/o all NROs) 3. Regional Spatial Development Frameworks (RSDFs) 4. Regional Physical Framework Plan (RPPF) (c/o NROs 3, 4-A, 5, 12, and CARAGA) 5. National Priority Plan (NPP) (c/o SDS) 6. Philippine Development Plan (PDP) Midterm Update (c/o NPPS)	1 updated RM compendium by 3 rd Quarter			Printing of Davao Region RMs Mid-Term Updates ongoing Printing ongoing
TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS PREPARED: 30		TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN SCHEDULE =		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Number of economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This refers to the count of the following economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period (i.e., month, quarter, semester, and/or year): (a) monthly report on Trade, (b) monthly report on Consumer Price Index, (c) monthly Integrated Survey of Selected Industries, (e) quarterly report on National Accounts, (f) quarterly report on Labor and Employment, (g) annual report on Poverty Statistics. This indicator measures the timeliness dimension of preparation of economic reports. An increase of this indicator is a sign of progress on the provision of timely economic information. <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine date of acceptance of request and release of response; and
--

- Document analysis

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Officer Performance Commitments.

2018 Target: 44

NAME OF ECONOMIC REPORT:	TARGET					ACCOMPLISHMENT					PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS (Y for Yes and N for No)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing the reports within the prescribed period</i>
	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
a. National Accounts (c/o NPPS)	4	1	1	1	1							
b. Trade (c/o NPPS)	12	3	3	3	3							
c. Consumer Price Index (c/o NPPS)	12	3	3	3	3							
d. Labor and Employment (c/o NPPS)	4	1	1	1	1							
e. Monthly Integrated Survey of Selected Industries (c/o TSIS)	12	3	3	3	3							
TOTAL NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS =	44	11	11	11	11							NUMBER OF ECONOMIC REPORTS PREPARED ON OR BEFORE RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS=

NAME OF REPORT	PERIOD COVERED	DATE OF PREPARATION	DATE OF RELEASE OF OFFICIAL STATISTICS

NATIONAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMMING PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

- a. Investment Coordination Committee
- b. Infrastructure Committee
- c. Other Inter-Agency Committees

Definition:

- This refers to the average satisfaction rating of members of Investment Coordination Committee (ICC) and Infrastructure Committee (INFRACOM) with the completeness and accuracy of meeting documents prepared by NEDA Secretariat.
- This indicator measures quality of NEDA's technical secretariat services provided, specifically the preparation of meeting documents (memorandum, evaluation report, presentation materials, letters, minutes, among others).

Means of verification:

- Accomplished surveys/questionnaires/ [evaluation sheets](#)

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reporting during ICC and INFRACOM meetings

NAME OF COMMITTEE	TARGET	AVERAGE CLIENT SATISFACTION RATING OF MEMBERS	REMARKS
Investment Coordination Committee (c/o PIS)	At least a 3.5/5 or 70% (Very Satisfactory) average rating		
Infrastructure Committee (c/o IS)	At least a 5/5 or 100% (Outstanding) average rating		
Other Inter-Agency Committees	At least a 4.5/5 or 90% (Outstanding) average rating		
1. NPMC (c/o MES)	At least a 4.5/5 or 90% (Outstanding) average rating		
2. Inter-agency Committee on Project Management and Monitoring (IACPMM)/ PIO System (c/o MES)	At least a 4.5/5 or 90% (Outstanding) average rating		
3. IATCP (c/o IS)	At least a 4.5/5 or 90% (Outstanding) average rating		
4. INFRACOM-SCWR (c/o IS)	At least a 4.5/5 or 90% (Outstanding) average rating		

NAME OF COMMITTEE: _____

DATE OF MEETING	NAME OF COMMITTEE/ COUNCIL MEMBER	RATING GIVEN BY MEMBER	Remarks
January 31, 2020	IDC XI Special Meeting	Very Satisfactory	endorsed the Project Evaluation Report on the Davao City Bypass Road to RDC XI
	Count of Members:	Sum of Satisfaction Ratings: Average Satisfaction Rating:	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of programs and projects approved by the ICC included in the PIP

Definition:

- This pertains to the proportion of programs and projects approved by the ICC which are included in the Public Investment Program (document).
- This indicator measures the responsiveness of programs and projects to the priorities of Government. An increase of this indicator is a sign that programs and projects approved by the ICC are aligned with the priorities of the PDP/RM.
- Computed as = (Total number of programs and projects included in the PIP / Total number of programs and projects approved by the ICC) x 100

Means of verification:

- Analysis of the following documents:
- PIP document
- List of ICC-approved programs and projects

Monitoring mechanism:

- Reports during NEDA Board and ICC meetings
- Accomplishments integrated in the Office Performance Commitments
-

2020 target: 90%

(c/o PIS)

PROGRAM/ PROJECT APPROVED BY THE ICC	DATE OF APPROVAL BY THE ICC	INCLUDED IN THE PIP (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not evaluating projects within target deadline</i>
1.			
2.			
3.			
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY THE ICC		TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS AND PROJECTS APPROVED BY ICC THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THE PIP:	

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Number of annual/medium-term public investment program documents prepared/updated and submitted every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency bodies for appropriate action

- a. PIP
- b. TRIP
- c. RDIP
- d. AIP

Definition:

- This pertains to the number of PIP documents prepared/updated every end of the year.
- This indicator measures the timeliness of formulation/ updating of PIP documents that serve as guide for efficient resource allocation toward priority public investments.

Means of Verification:

- Published PIP, CIIP, TRIP (i.e., printing and/or publication in the NEDA website)
- Posting of RDIP and AIP in the NRO website
- Analysis of the resolutions/ minutes of meetings of the following: NEDA Board, INFRACOM, and RDCs

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2018 target: 17

NAME OF PUBLIC INVESTMENT PROGRAM DOCUMENT	TARGET NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED	DATE OF SUBMISSION TO CONCERNED INTER-AGENCY BODIES	DOCUMENTS PREPARED/UPDATED AND SUBMITTED WITHIN THE YEAR (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not preparing/updating and submitting PIP documents within schedule</i>
1. Public Investment Program (PIP) <i>(c/o PIS)</i>	1 PIP by 1 st Quarter			
2. Three-Year Rolling Infrastructure Program <i>(c/o IS)</i>	1 TRIP by 4 th Quarter			
3. Regional Development Investment Programs (RDIPs) <i>(c/o NROs)</i>	15 RDIPs by 4 th Quarter			
TOTAL NUMBER OF PIP DOCUMENTS PREPARED: 17		TOTAL NUMBER OF PLANS SUBMITTED/PRESENTED WITHIN THE YEAR =		Printing of Davao Region RDIP, 2020-2022 ongoing

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of projects appraised within target deadline

Definition:

- This pertains to the proportion of projects appraised within target deadline (e.g. projects costing Php 2.5 billion and above and with complete requirements appraised within 6 weeks and presented to the ICC-TB for deliberation).

Means of verification:

- Analysis of the minutes of the meetings
- NEDA Document and Assignment Tracking System (DATS) or logbook to determine dates of receipt of project proposal and release of project evaluation reports.

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 90%

(c/o All Staffs and NROs)

NAME OF PROJECT	PROPONENT AGENCY	DATE OF RECEIPT OF PROJECT PROPOSAL	DATE OF COMPLETION OF APPRAISAL	APPRAISED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS <i>*indicate reason for not evaluating projects within target deadline</i>
1.					
2.					
3.					
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS APPRAISED:				TOTAL NUMBER OF PROJECTS APPRAISED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE:	

* Refers to projects with complete submission of documents/information from proponent agencies. Only report projects that completed the appraisal during the period.

NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM

Outcome Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Adoption of Socio-Economic Report (SER) as basis for Budget Priorities Framework

Definition:

- This refers to the adoption of SER for the preparation of the Budget Priorities Framework.
- This indicator measures the improvement of formulating budget priorities based on lessons learned from implementation of the Philippine Development plan.

Means of verification:

- Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Analysis of SER and Budget Priorities Framework

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ADOPTED AS BASIS FOR BUDGET PRIORITIES FRAMEWORK (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
Socio-Economic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS)	SER adopted in Parts III and IV of the Budget Priorities Framework		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation (M&E) information for policy and decision-making made readily available to policy-makers and various stakeholders within prescribed period

Definition:

- This indicator measures the quality of NEDA's M&E services, specifically the preparation of documents/ communications providing M&E information to policy makers and various stakeholders (e.g. OP, PSA, DOF, COA, Congress, researchers, academe, government implementing agencies, providers of development cooperation, etc.)
- Computed as = $(\text{Total number of M\&E Information made readily available to policy makers and various stakeholders within prescribed time} / \text{Total number of M\&E requests}) \times 100$

Means of verification:

- Document tracking system to determine date of receipt of request and release of response.

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms

2020 target: 100%

(c/o MES and NROs)

NAME OF REQUEST FOR M&E INFORMATION	REQUESTING PARTY (EXTERNAL)	DATE OF RECEIPT OF REQUEST	DATE OF RELEASE	MADE READILY AVAILABLE WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
a.					
b.					
c.					
TOTAL NUMBER OF M&E REQUESTS RECEIVED				TOTAL NUMBER M&E INFORMATION MADE READILY AVAILABLE TO POLICY-MAKERS AND VARIOUS STAKEHOLDERS WITHIN PRESCRIBED TIME =	

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3:

Percentage of agencies with problematic projects alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues

Definition:

- This indicator measures the assistance provided by NEDA to facilitate project implementation through the conduct of problem-solving sessions, one-on-one agency consultations, field validation visits, project steering committee meetings, project implementation reviews with development providers, and major fora such as regular PIO meetings, NPMC meetings, and RPMES fora.
- Computed as = (Total number of agencies alerted/assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and/or to address implementation issues / Total number of agencies with problematic projects) x 100

Means of verification:

- Communications, follow-ups, field validation reports, [Problem-Solving Sessions \(PSS\)](#), and minutes of meetings with agencies

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the SPMS forms.
- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA.

2020 Target: 100% of agencies with problematic projects per quarter
 (c/o MES and NROs)

NAME OF AGENCY WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS	NAME OF PROGRAM/PROJECT WITH PROBLEMS/ISSUES	ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION (Y for yes and N for no)	NAME AND DATE OF MEETING/ FORA / CONSULTATIONS/ VISIT CONDUCTED (Specify)	REMARKS
TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES WITH PROBLEMATIC PROJECTS:		TOTAL NUMBER OF AGENCIES ALERTED/ASSISTED TO HASTEN OR PUT PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION BACK ON TRACK AND/OR TO ADDRESS IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES = 3		

Output Indicators

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 1:

Number of socioeconomic assessment reports (SER and RDRs) prepared and released within schedule

- a. Socio-Economic Report (SER)
- b. Regional Development Report (RDR)

Definition:

- This refers to the number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared and released within schedule.
- The annual SER serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of the PDP targets. The RDR serves as a tool in monitoring the achievement of RDP objectives and targets.

Means of Verification:

- Published SER and guidelines
- Published RDRs

Monitoring Mechanism:

- Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Officer Performance Commitments.

2020 target: 16

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ACTUAL DATE OF RELEASE OF REPORT	REMARKS
a. 2018 Annual Regional Development Report (RDR) (c/o NROs)	15 RDRs for 2018 prepared and released by 4 th Quarter		<p>Printing of Davao Regional Development Report 2018 (ongoing)</p> <p>Participated in the 3rd Stakeholders Coordination Mtg re Master Plan and FS on Flood Control and Drainage</p> <p>Participated in the Consultative Meeting re Samal Island-Davao Connector Project</p>
b. 2018 Socioeconomic Report (SER) (c/o NPPS)	2018 SER launched by 1 st Quarter		
TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED = 16	TOTAL NUMBER OF DOCUMENTS PREPARED AND RELEASED WITHIN SCHEDULE=		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 2:

One (1) annual report on the performance of Official Development Assistance (ODA) portfolio prepared and submitted to Congress on or before June 30 annually

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This refers to the timely submission to Congress of the outcome of the review of status of all projects financed by Official Development Assistance (ODA) as required by RA No. 8182. The following are the salient contents of the report: financial and physical performance of projects; project implementation issues; results (outputs and outcomes) derived from implementing programs and projects; status of recommendations made in previous reviews. <p>Means of Verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Published ODA Portfolio Review Report <p>Monitoring Mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

NAME OF DOCUMENT	TARGET	ACTUAL DATE OF SUBMISSION	REMARKS
a. ODA Portfolio Review Report (c/o MES)	Prepared and submitted on or before June 30, 2020		
TOTAL = 1	TOTAL NUMBER OF REPORT PREPARED AND SUBMITTED ON OR BEFORE JUNE 30 =		

PERFORMANCE INDICATOR 3

Percentage of programs/projects (i.e. ICC-approved programs/projects with complete requirements) re-evaluated within target deadline

<p>Definition:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This pertains to the re-evaluation of projects within target deadline. This indicator measures the timeliness of project re-evaluations carried out by the NEDA evaluating units. <p>Means of verification:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minutes of ICC meetings Document tracking system to determine the dates of receipt of agency requests for project restructuring (e.g. extension, change in scope, etc.) and release of project evaluation reports <p>Monitoring Mechanism:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Accomplishments are integrated in the NEDA Quarterly Physical Report of Operations (QPRO) which is submitted to DBM and COA as well as in the Office Performance Commitments.

2020 Target: At least 90% of re-evaluation requests processed in 2020

(c/o all Staffs and NROs)

LIST OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED	PROONENT AGENCY	DATE OF RECEIPT OF AGENCY REQUEST FOR PROJECT RESTRUCTURING	DATE OF COMPLETION OF RE-EVALUATION	RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE (Y for yes and N for no)	REMARKS
1. Davao City Bypass Construction Project	DPWH		January 31, 2020	Y	Endorsed by IDC XI to RDC XI
2.					
3.					
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED:				TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS/PROJECTS RE-EVALUATED WITHIN TARGET DEADLINE:	

MAJOR PROGRAMS/PROJECTS

NAME OF PROJECT	TARGET					ACCOMPLISHMENT					REMARKS
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	TOTAL	
1. Infrastructure Development Preparation Fund (c/o IS)											
2. Project Development and Other Related Studies Fund (c/o IS)											
3. Value Engineering/Value Analysis (VE/VA) Project (c/o IS)											
4. Implementation of the Management Information System (c/o ICTS)											

NARRATIVE ACCOMPLISHMENT REPORT (c/o All Staffs and NROs)

(option to upload MS word file or input in the comment box)

Prepared by:



CONCEPCION C. ANAUD

Signature over Printed Name/ Date

Approved by:



RD MARIA LOURDES D. LIM, CESO II

Signature over Printed Name/ Date