

**XXVI. NATIONAL ECONOMIC AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY****A. OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR-GENERAL****STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES****SECTOR OUTCOME**

Sound, stable and supportive macroeconomic environment sustained

**ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME**

Sound economic and development management effected

**PERFORMANCE INFORMATION****ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)****BASELINE****2020 TARGETS**

Sound economic and development management effected

**SOCIO-ECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM**

## Outcome Indicator(s)

1. Percentage of policy recommendations adopted
2. Percentage of agenda items related to the plans for NEDA Board Committees where NEDA is the Secretariat
3. Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided
  - a. NEDA Board

90.87%

85.7% average

93.80%

93% average

N / A

At least 3.75 / 5 or 75%  
(Very Satisfactory) average rating

## NEDA Board Committees:

- b. Social Development Committee

4.53 or 90.60% (Outstanding)

At least 3.75 / 5 or 75%  
(Very Satisfactory) average rating

- c. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters

4.49 or 89.80% (Very Satisfactory)

At least 2.5 / 5 or 50%  
(Satisfactory) average rating

- d. National Land Use Committee

N / A

At least 3 / 5 or 60%  
(Satisfactory) average rating

- e. Regional Development Committee

N / A

At least 3 / 5 or 60%  
(Satisfactory) average rating

- f. Other Inter-Agency Committees

4.46 or 89.20% (Very Satisfactory)

At least a 3.75 / 5 or 75%  
(Very Satisfactory) average rating

- g. Regional Development Councils

4.56 or 91.20% (Outstanding)

At least a 4.45 / 5 or 89%  
(Very Satisfactory) average rating

## Output Indicator(s)

1. Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and / or time of completion
2. Number of plans prepared / updated and submitted within schedule to NEDA Board, RCom, NLUC, RDCs, and / or Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning respectively, for approval
3. Number of economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period

99% average

97% average

35 total

17 total

7 RDP

2 RSDF

7 RPFP

1 NPP

44 total

**NATIONAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMMING PROGRAM**

## Outcome Indicator(s)

1. Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided
  - NEDA Board Committees:

a. Investment Coordination Committee	4.61 or 92.20% (Outstanding)	At least 3.0 / 5 or 60% (Satisfactory) average rating
b. Infrastructure Committee	N / A	At least 5 / 5 or 100% (Outstanding) average rating
c. Other Inter-agency Committees	4.30 or 86% (Very Satisfactory)	At least 4 / 5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
2. Percentage of programs and projects approved by the Investment Coordination Committee included in the Public Investment Program (PIP)	83.33%	90%
Output Indicator(s)		
1. Number of annual / medium-term public investment program documents prepared / updated and submitted by every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency bodies for appropriate action	17	32 total 1 PIP 1 TRIP 15 RDIP 15 AIP
2. Percentage of project appraised within target deadline	100%	90%

**NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM**

Outcome Indicator(s)

1. Adoption of Socio-Economic Report (SER) as basis for Budget Priorities Framework	SER adopted in Parts III and IV of the 2017 BPF	SER adopted in the BFP
2. Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation (M&E) information for policy and decision-making made readily available to policy-makers and various stakeholders within prescribed period	100%	100% of data requests provided per quarter
3. Percentage of agencies with problematic projects alerted / assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and / or to address implementation issues	100%	100% of agencies with problematic projects per quarter
Output Indicator(s)		
1. Number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared and released within schedule	16 total	16 total
a. Socio-Economic Report (SER)	1	1 SER
b. Regional Development Report (RDR)	15 total	15 total RDRs
2. One (1) annual report on the performance of Official Development Assistance (ODA) portfolio prepared and submitted to Congress on or before June 30 annually	1	1
3. Percentage of programs / projects (i.e. Investment Coordination Committee-approved programs / projects with complete requirements) re-evaluated within target deadline	96.67%	At least 90%