

## XXV. NATIONAL ECONOMIC AND DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

## A. OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR-GENERAL

## STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

## SECTOR OUTCOME

Sound, stable and supportive macroeconomic environment sustained

## ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOME

Sound economic and development management effected

## PERFORMANCE INFORMATION

<u>ORGANIZATIONAL OUTCOMES (OOs) / PERFORMANCE INDICATORS (PIs)</u>	<u>BASELINE</u>	<u>2019 TARGETS</u>
Sound economic and development management effected		
<b>SOCIO-ECONOMIC POLICY AND PLANNING PROGRAM</b>		
Outcome Indicator(s)		
1. Percentage of policy recommendations adopted	N / A	85% average
2. Percentage of agenda items related to the plans for NEDA Board Committees where NEDA is the Secretariat	N / A	93% average
3. Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided		
a. NEDA Board	N / A	At least 3.75 / 5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
NEDA Board Committees:		
b. Social Development Committee	N / A	At least 3.5 / 5 or 70% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
c. Committee on Tariff and Related Matters	N / A	At least 2.5 / 5 or 50% (Satisfactory) average rating
d. National Land Use Committee	N / A	At least 4 / 5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
e. Regional Development Committee	N / A	At least 4 / 5 or 80% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
f. Other Inter-Agency Committees	N / A	At least a 3.75 / 5 or 75% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
g. Regional Development Councils	N / A	At least a 4.45 / 5 or 89% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
Output Indicator(s)		
1. Percentage of requests for policy recommendations on socio-economic and development matters prepared or reviewed within the required date and / or time of completion	99% average	97% average

2. Number of plans prepared / updated and submitted within schedule to NEDA Board, RDCom, NLUC, RDCs, and / or Secretary of Socioeconomic Planning respectively, for approval	35 total	29 total 1 updated RM compendium 15 RDP 7 RSDF 5 RPFP 1 NPP
3. Number of economic reports prepared on or before the release of official statistics for each reference period	58 total	44 total

**NATIONAL INVESTMENT PROGRAMMING PROGRAM**

Outcome Indicator(s)

1. Average client satisfaction rating of members of the following with the secretariat services provided

NEDA Board Committees:

a. Investment Coordination Committee	N / A	At least 3.5 / 5 or 70% (Very Satisfactory) average rating
h. Infrastructure Committee	N / A	At least 5 / 5 or 100% (Outstanding) average rating
c. Other Inter-agency Committees	N / A	At least 4.5 / 5 or 90% (Very Satisfactory) average rating

2. Percentage of programs and projects approved by the Investment Coordination Committee included in the Public Investment Program (PIP)

83%

90%

Output Indicator(s)

1. Number of annual / medium-term public investment program documents prepared / updated and submitted by every end of the year to the concerned inter-agency bodies for appropriate action

17

17 total  
1 PIP  
1 TRIP  
15 draft updated RDIP

2. Percentage of project appraised within target deadline

100%

90%

**NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT MONITORING AND EVALUATION PROGRAM**

Outcome Indicator(s)

1. Adoption of Socio-Economic Report (SER) as basis for Budget Priorities Framework

SER adopted in Parts III and IV of the 2017 BPF

2018 SER adopted in Parts III and IV of the BPF

2. Percentage of requests for monitoring and evaluation (M&E) information for policy and decision-making made readily available to policy-makers and various stakeholders within prescribed period

100%

100% of data requests provided per quarter

3. Percentage of agencies with problematic projects alerted / assisted to hasten or put project implementation back on track and / or to address implementation issues

N / A

100% of agencies with problematic projects per quarter

Output Indicator(s)

1. Number of socioeconomic assessment reports prepared and released within schedule

a. Socio-Economic Report (SER)

1

1 SER

b. Regional Development Report (RDR)

15 total

15 total

2. One (1) annual report on the performance of Official Development Assistance (ODA) portfolio prepared and submitted to Congress on or before June 30 annually

1

1

**3. Percentage of programs / projects (i.e. Investment Coordination Committee-approved programs / projects with complete requirements) re-evaluated within target deadline**

**N / A**

**At least 90% of re-evaluation requests processed in 2019**